#### Formal Modeling and Test Generation Automation with Use Case Maps and LOTOS Leïla Charfi

School of Information Technology and Engineering University of Ottawa

September 7th, 2001

# Outline

- Motivation
- Previous work
- Framework of our research
- Contributions, usefulness and limitations
- Case Study
- Perspectives

# Motivation

- The thesis addresses the problem of formal modeling and test generation in telecommunication systems
- Telecommunication systems are evolving
- New users requirements have to be met
- Time-to-market
- $\rightarrow$  Need for development methodologies allowing fast and robust software design

# Previous work

- Existing development methodologies:



- Existing testing tools:
  - LOLA, TGV, TorX for LOTOS specifications and tests
  - TAU for SDL specifications and TTCN test suites 4

# Framework of our research

- Use existing development methodologies essentially using:
  - UCMs,
  - LOTOS, SDL
- Develop better solutions to meet the expectations of the industry
  - Better and faster design
  - Better and faster testing

- Design of a LOTOS model for a new Mitel PBX
- Automatic LOTOS scenario generation from UCMs
- New development methodology with fast test suite generation



#### 🔚 Telelogic normalCall in Demo \_ 🗆 🗵 File Edit Data Dictionary Show Tools SDT Link Help • E 🗳 þ 튑 +! +? 🟦 ? 5 4 Ø 0 Test Case Dynamic Behaviour Test Case Name : normalCall Group Purpose Configuration Default : Otherwise Fail Comments Selection Ref Description Nr Label **Behaviour Description Constraints Ref** Verdict Comments user ! user Offhook offhook\_1 user ? user DialTone On dialToneOn\_1 2 3 key\_1\_2 user ! user Key 4 user ? user DialTone Off dialToneOff\_1 5 user ? userKeyTone keyTone\_1\_2 6 key\_1\_2 user ! user Key 7 keyTone\_1\_2 user ? user KeyTone 8 user ? userAlertingOn alertingOn\_2 9 user ? user Ringback On ringbackOn\_1 10 user ! user Offhook offhook\_2 11 user ? userAlertingOff alertingOff\_2 12 user ? user Ringback Off ringbackOff\_1 13 onhook\_2 user ! user Onhook PASS 14 onhook\_1 user ! user Onhook 15 INCONC user ? user Ringback Off ringbackOff\_1 16 user ? user Ringback On ringbackOn\_1 INCONC 17 INCONC user ? user Announce On announceOn\_1 18 user ? userAlertingOn INCONC alertingOn\_2 19 user ? user DialTone On dialToneOn\_2 INCONC Detailed Comments :

#### Use Case Map

#### TTCN test case

#### Design of a LOTOS model

- Follow an existing development methodology that uses:
  - Use Case Maps to express the requirements
  - LOTOS and SDL at specification stage
  - MSCs for verification and validation
  - TTCN for conformance testing with the implementation
- Build a LOTOS specification that meets the requirements
  - Mapping rules from UCM elements to LOTOS elements
- Build a set of scenarios used for:
  - Validation of the specification
  - Cross validation between the LOTOS and the SDL specifications

Automatic scenario generation from UCMs

 Developed and implemented a tool for the automatic generation of LOTOS scenarios from a UCM map: *Ucm2LotosTests*



Automatic scenario generation from UCMs

#### • Usefulness:

- $\rightarrow$  Automatic generation  $\rightarrow$  saves time
- $\rightarrow$  Set of scenarios cover the UCM map since the algorithm visits all the routes
- $\rightarrow$  Depending on the UCM to LOTOS mapping provided, the scenarios can be used for:
  - White-box testing
  - Grey-box testing
  - Black-box testing
- $\rightarrow$  Obtained LOTOS scenarios useful for:
  - Verification of the LOTOS specification (white-box, grey-box testing)
  - Validation of the LOTOS specification (black-box testing)
  - Conformance testing (by using scenarios as inputs for the TTCN test suite generation)

#### Automatic scenario generation from UCMs

• Consideration: Generation of rejection scenarios





11

#### Contribution 3 New development methodology with fast test suite generation



#### Contribution 3 New development methodology with fast test suite generation

#### • Usefulness:

- "Fast" methodology because of automation
- Use of the semi-formal notation UCMs allows preliminary semantic check of the system's behavior
- Use of the formal language LOTOS
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Specification is robust and not ambiguous
- Automatic TTCN test suite generation from UCMs

#### • Limitations:

- Use of CAESAR implies:
  - The LOTOS specification style is restricted
  - We must build a LOTOS specification that generates a small number of states

# Case Study

- Mitel's Basic call specification and test generation
- $\rightarrow$  Tool problems:
  - Use of CAESAR  $\rightarrow$  *Simplified* Basic call specification
  - Use of TGV  $\rightarrow$  LOTOS to TTCN test conversion was not straightforward.

# Perspectives

- Improve Ucm2LotosTest
  - Handling recursive stubs
  - Regression testing
- Improve CAESAR and TGV
- Automate UCM to LOTOS specification
- Investigate the use of LOLA instead of CAESAR
- Investigate the use of SDL and the powerful SDL based tools